

Name of meeting: Cabinet Committee - Local Issues

Date: 23 June 2021

Title of report: Objection to Kirklees TRO No 4 Order 2017, Proposed No

Waiting at Any Time restrictions, Thorpe Lane, Almondbury

Purpose of report: To consider objections received to the above advertised

**Traffic Regulation Order**.

Key Decision - Is it likely to result in spending or saving £250k or more, or to have a significant effect on two or more electoral wards?	No
Key Decision - Is it in the <u>Council's Forward</u> <u>Plan (key decisions and private reports?)</u>	No
The Decision - Is it eligible for call in by Scrutiny?	Yes
Date signed off by <u>Strategic Director</u> & name	Colin Parr - 03.06.2021
Is it also signed off by the Service Director Finance?	Eamonn Croston – 02.06.2021
Is it also signed off by the Service Director for Legal Governance and Commissioning?	Julie Muscroft - 11.06.2021
Cabinet member portfolio	Councillor Naheed Mather

**Electoral wards affected: Almondbury** 

Ward councillors consulted: Yes

**Public or private: Public** 

Has GDPR been considered: Yes

# 1. Summary

To consider an objection received in response to the public advertisement of 'No Waiting at Any Time' parking restrictions on Thorpe Lane, Almondbury in Kirklees (TR) (No 4) Order 2017

### 2. Information required to take a decision

- 2.1 Following complaints by local residents', including a petition, site visits have shown that drivers regularly park on the footway on the blind bend on Thorpe Lane, Almondbury on the right hand side of the junction of Thorpe Grange Gardens. This blocks access and visibility for residents exiting from the junction. The proposed restrictions are designed to improve road safety for all road users and increase visibility for drivers exiting from Thorpe Grange Gardens.
- 2.2 The Traffic Regulation Order was advertised between 21 February 2020 and 21 March 2020, when the notice was placed on site, in the local press and on the Council website. During that time one objection was received.

#### Objection:

Councillor McGuin believes that these proposals will have an adverse effect on one of the residents here.

## In response:

The proposed parking restrictions will extend up to the boundary of the residential property that Cllr McGuin believes will be affected, as this is the nearest location that this resident can park, having no off-road parking facilities.

As part of the consultation process, prior to the advertisement of the traffic regulation order, affected local residents were consulted. At that time no adverse comments were received from this resident.

#### 3 Implications for the Council

- 3.1 **Working with people -** The proposed works are considered necessary to improve road safety for local residents and all road users.
- 3.2 Working with Partners Not applicable
- 3.3 **Place based Working –** This is a local solution aimed at improving the highway network for local people.
- 3.4 Climate Change and Air Quality These proposals will not have a detrimental impact on Air Quality or Climate Change.
- 3.5 **Improving outcomes for children-** These proposals are aimed at preventing pavement parking, entering and travelling within a residential area
- 3.6 **Other -** If the TRO is not implemented, it is likely the benefits of improved visibility at this location will not be achieved.

# 4 Consultees and their opinions

- 4.1 Statutory consultees have been consulted on these proposals prior to the advertising of the Order and no comments were received.
- 4.2 The Almondbury Ward Councillors had been consulted on the proposals, prior to the formal advertising of the Traffic Regulation Order:

Cllr Munroe responded and when informed that it was unlikely the property on the opposite side of the road to the junction would be impacted, replied:

"In that case I am satisfied and do not have a problem with the proposal."

This is not the same property that Councillor McGuin is objecting on the behalf of.

### 5. Next steps and timelines

Cabinet Committee for Local Issues to consider the objection raised during the formal advertising period for the proposed No Waiting at Any Time restrictions and reach a decision on whether the traffic regulation order should be implemented as advertised.

If the Cabinet Committee for Local Issues chooses to overrule the objection received the TRO proposals will be implemented after the Order is sealed in the next few weeks.

If Cabinet Committee choose to uphold the objections, then the changes will not be made and the local residents will be informed.

#### 6. Officer recommendations and reasons

That the objections be overruled, and the waiting restrictions are implemented, as advertised, to improve road safety for all road users at this location

## 7. Cabinet portfolio holder's recommendations

The Cabinet Portfolio Holder supports the officer recommendation to overrule the objection and implement the Traffic Regulation Order as advertised.

## 8. Contact officer

Ken Major -Principal Engineer (01484) 221000 ken.major@kirklees.gov.uk

#### 9. Service Director responsible

Sue Procter -Service Director - Environment (01484) 221000 <u>sue.procter@kirklees.gov.uk</u>